UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Howard Greer,	Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	
v. :	
Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendants.	

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Howard Greer, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of the Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of the Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and their agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 3. The Plaintiff, Howard Greer ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Buffalo, New York, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 4. The Defendant, Diversified Adjustment Service, Inc. ("Diversified"), is a Minnesota business entity with an address of 600 Coon Rapids Boulevard, Coon Rapids, Minnesota 55434, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is

defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 5. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Diversified and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
 - 6. Diversified at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 7. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation (the "Debt") to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 8. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 9. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Diversified for collection, or Diversified was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 10. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Diversified Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 11. Within the last year, Diversified contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 12. On or about September 20, 2017, Plaintiff requested via email that Diversified cease all calls to her cellular telephone number.
 - 13. On or about September 26, 2017, Plaintiff received three calls from Diversified.
- 14. During the third call, Plaintiff informed Diversified that she sent a cease and desist request via email.

15. In complete disregard of Plaintiff's cease and desist request, Diversified continued to place harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number in an attempt to collect the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 16. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 17. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA - 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 18. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 19. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(c) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff after having received written notification from the Plaintiff to cease communication.
- 20. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair andunconscionable means to collect a debt.

23. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.

24. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be awarded in the Plaintiff's favor and against the Defendants as follows:

1. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);

2. Against each of the named Defendants, awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A);

3. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff actual damages, trebled, pursuant to NY GBL § 349;

4. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff recovery of the costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);

5. Against the named Defendants, jointly and severally, awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages in such amount as is found appropriate; and

6. Granting the Plaintiff such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: January 17, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
	By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg, Esq. (SL 6331) LEMBERG LAW, LLC 43 Danbury Road, 3d Floor Wilton, CT 06897 Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Attorneys for Plaintiff